REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 FOR THE YEAR 2017 – 2018

I. PARTICULARS OF REPORTING AUTHORITY:

1. Name of the office: Directorate of Health Services
2. Number of gazetted officers: 33 nos.
3. Names of Public Sector Undertakings, Boards, Council etc. under the Office: Nil
4. Name of NGOs assisted by the Department: Nil

II. IMPLEMENTATIONS OF THE SECTION 4 OF THE RTI ACT:

1. Whether all the records are catalogued and indexed by the Office? If not, reason as to why?
   = Yes, all the records are catalogued and indexed by the Office.
   Whether information Hand Book under RTI Act as required by sec. 4(1) (b) in the prescribed template has been prepared by the office? If not reason as to why?
   = Yes, RTI Act as required by sec. 4 (1) (b) in the prescribed template has been prepared by the office

2. Whether all relevant facts relating to formulation of important policies have been published by the department / office as required under Sec. 4(1) (b)? Copy of the latest publication may please be attached.
   = Yes, all relevant facts relating to formulation of important policies have been published by the department / office as required under Sec. 4(1) (b).

3. Whether suo moto information has been provided to the public at regular intervals as required by Sec. 4(2)?
   = Yes, suo moto information has been provided to the public at regular intervals as required by Sec. 4(2).

4. Whether every information in the department / office has been widely disseminated as required by Sec. 4(3)?
   = Yes, every information in the department / office has been widely disseminated as required by Sec. 4(3).

5. Whether information in the department / office has been made accessible to State Public Information Officer (SPIO) concerned as required by Sec. 4.
   = Yes, information in the department / office has been made accessible to State Public Information Officer (SPIO) concerned as required by Sec. 4.
III. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 5:

1. How many SPIOs have been designated in the office? If not why?
   = 1 no. of State Public Information Officer (SPIO) have been designated in the Office.

2. How many SAPIOs have been appointed in the office? If not why?
   = 1 nos. of State Assistant Public Information Officer (SAPIO) have been designated in the Office.

3. How many Departmental Appellate Authorities (DAAs) have been appointed in the office? If not why?
   = 1 (one) no. of Departmental Appellate Authority (DAA) have been appointed in the office.

4. When were these designations made? Kindly enclose a copy of such orders.
   = The present Departmental Appellate Authorities (AA) and State Public Information Officer (SPIO) have been designated w.e.f. Dt. 27.05.2017 and Dt. 22.04.2013 vide No. B. 16012/1/2015-HFW dt. 27.05.2017 and No.B.16012/1/09-HFW dt.15.3.2016 (copy enclosed).

5. Whether any assistance of any other officer has been sought by SPIO? If so, in how many case?
   = Nil.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 6:

1. No. of applications received in the office:
   = 59 nos.

2. How many application had been assisted by the SPIO to reduce as application in writing under Sec. 6(1) (b)?
   = Nil.

3. How many application have been referred to another Public Authorities (PAs) / Offices for providing information?
   = Nil.

4. Amount of application fees received. Were these deposited to the Government?
   = Received a sum of Rs. 378/- (Rupees three hundred seventy eight) only for application fees which will be deposited to the Government.
V. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 7:

1. How many applications were decided within the prescribed time limit?
   = 59 nos.

2. How many applications were rejected by the SPIO? What were the main grounds for rejecting the applications?
   = Nil.

3. How many applications were not decided within the prescribed limitation? What are the main reasons for not deciding the applications within limitations?
   = Nil.

4. How many applications were received concerning the life or liberty of a person?
   = Nil.

5. Whether applications concerning the life or liberty of a person were decided within a period of 48 hours from time of receipt of the application?
   = Nil.

6. How many applicants failed to make payment of cost of providing the information? State the amount?
   = Nil.

7. How many applicants belonging to BPL were provided information free of cost? And how many of them were denied information free of cost?
   = - Nil -

8. How many sensorily disabled applicants were assisted by the SPIO?
   = Nil.

9. How many applicants were given information free of cost due to failer of the PAs to provided the information within limitation?
   = Nil.

10. In how many applications a third party was involved?
    = Nil.

11. Amount of fees collected for the provisions of such information during the reported period.
    = A sum of Rs. 320/- (Rupees three hundred twenty ) only was collected for the provisions of such information during the reported period.
VI. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 8:

1. How many applications were denied information under Sec. 8? Give reasons used for denying such information.
   = Nil.

2. How many applicants were given information under Sec. 8 on the ground of larger public interest?
   = Nil.

VII. IMPLEMENTATION A OF SECTION 9:

1. How many applications were rejected on the ground specified under Sec. 9 of RTI Act?
   = Nil.

VIII. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 19:

1. How many appeals have been instituted before the DAA against the actions / inaction of the SPIO in the Office?
   = Nil.

2. How many such first appeals were decided by the DAA within a period of 45 days from the date of filing the first appeal?
   = Nil.

3. How many first appeals were rejected?
   = Nil.

4. How many first appeals were allowed?
   = Nil.

5. How many 2nd appeals were preferred against the First Appellate Authority before the MIC?
   = Nil.

6. How many 2nd appeals were decided by MIC and how many appeals were pending?
   = Nil.

7. How many appeals were allowed by the commission and how many were disallowed?
   = Nil.

8. In how many cases the commission made recommendations and what are the actions taken by the Office on such recommendations?
   = Nil.
IX. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 25:

1. What are the recommendations, if any, for compliance of the provisions of the RTI Act by the Office?
   = Nil.

X. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 26:

1. What are the departmental and organizational programmes conducted by the Office in respect of exercise of the RTI as contemplated under Sec.26(1)(a)?
   = Nil.

2. What actions were taken by the Office to encourage PAs in the developmental organization of such programmes as mentioned above?
   = Nil.

3. What were the actions taken by the office to promote the Act?
   = All appeal were entertained and disposed within the specified period accept exceptional case, to promote this Act.
ANNUAL REPORT UNDER RTI ACT, 2005 FOR THE YEAR 2016-2017
IN RESPECT OF HEALTH SERVICES DEPARTMENT


1. Cases Received : 59 nos.
2. Cases Pending : Nil
3. First Appeals Received : Nil
4. Pending First Appeals : Nil

Dr. Lalramliana, State Public information Officer & Deputy Director, Directorate of Health Services, Mizoram; Aizawl, Phone No. 2317389(O), Mobile No. 9436158041.
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